



#### Design and Control Considerations for High-Performance Series Elastic Actuators

Actuators Workshop IROS 2014 Nicholas Paine, Luis Sentis Human Centered Robotics Lab Univ. of Texas, Austin, USA



#### Some observations

- Performance Nature outperforms manmade machines (locomoting, dynamic maneuvers, catching, efficiency)
- Versatility A single animal can (usually) outperform the each of the most specialized man-made machines

Fundamental improvements are needed in the physical ability of robots

## In this talk...

- 1) We seek to improve performance of robotic actuators for legged robot applications
- 2) Establish a common metric which may be used to compare to other work

### A few performance metrics

Metric

#### Torque/Weight



Power/weight

Efficiency





Arnold



Optimized robot



NASA-JPL ATHELETE



Boston Dynamics Wildcat



Cornell Ranger

#### High-performance electric SEA design

### Prismatic series elastic actuators

Ballscrews excel in power output and efficiency

A **ballscrew** speed reduction and **series elasticity** combine together to define a class of prismatic series elastic actuators





Spring Flamingo (MIT) SEA



## Basics of operation for previous SEAs

(3)

(1)

2

(1) Ball screw rotation(2) Ball nut translation(3) Output carriage

Note: large swept volume Is a more compact design possible?

#### Our design: the UT-SEA



## Unique features

Our actuator differs from other prismatic SEAs by: (1) driving the ball nut, (2) piston style ball screw support, (3) springs concentric with drive shaft.



N. PAINE, S. Oh and L. Sentis. "Design and Control Considerations for High-Performance Series Elastic Actuators," *Mechatronics, IEEE/ASME Transactions on*, vol.19, no.3, pp.1080,1091, June 2014.

#### To-scale comparison

Significantly smaller than previous designs of similar performance

2.2X less mass than Hume SEA



#### Importance of small actuator size

a) Atlas robot 28 hydraulic actuators



b) Valkyrie robot 25 SEAs



### Datasheet performance comparison



Knowing mechanical capability alone is not good enough

## Limits of datasheet performance

Knowing mechanical performance alone is not good enough

- 1) It depends on motor manufacturer's "rated values", which are non-standardized metrics
- 2) It does not take into account other system limitations, most notably due to control issues
- Empirical (measured) performance is a more useful metric

#### High-performance SEA control

#### Taking control into account – our control approach

- Inner force control loop
  - PD force feedback (shaped to be critically damped)
  - Disturbance observer to improve tracking accuracy and disturbance rejection
- Outer position control loop
  - Inverse dynamics based (assumes a model of the load is known)
  - Feedback achieved through a disturbance observer



## SEA force control

a) Series Elastic Actuator (SEA)

c) Torque control diagram



Closed-loop transfer function:

$$P_{c}(s) = \frac{F_{k}(s)}{F_{r}(s)} = \frac{(k\beta k_{d})s + k(1+\beta k_{p})}{m_{k}s^{2} + (b_{eff} + k\beta k_{d})s + k(1+\beta k_{p})}$$

#### Force control performance



#### "Open loop" force control



#### Adding the PD compensator



## Adding the DOB (full controller)



#### Force tracking comparison



## **Tracking Error Comparison**

Ferr/Fdes



Parameter	Value	Units
$k_p$	0.05	A/N
$f_{kd}$	100	Hz
$\zeta_d$	0.9	n/a
$f_q$	40	Hz
$\beta$	219	N/A
$m_k$	360	kg
$b_{eff}$	2200	Ns/m
k	350000	N/m



N. PAINE, J. Mehling, J. Holley, N. Radford, G. Johnson, C. Fok, and L. Sentis. "Actuator Control for the NASA-JSC Valkyrie Humanoid Robot: A Decoupled Dynamics Approach for Torque Control of Series Elastic Robots," *Journal of Field Robotics*, 2014, **Under revision**.

#### Position control -> inverse dynamics



#### Solve for *F* given $\theta_a$

#### Our high performance position control approach



Control plant reduced to:



$$\frac{\theta_a(s)}{\tau_a(s)} = \frac{1}{s^2 J_a + s B_a}$$

Controlled using inverse dynamics and DOB

## Data from high power test



more useful than "datasheet" performance Empirical power-to-weight ratio of 423 W/kg

**4.5x** improvement over our previous work (UT-SEA version 1) due to mechanical and control improvements

**6.41x** improvement over empirical Yobotics SEA performance

**2.1x** improvement over the most power-dense human muscle

N. PAINE, S. Oh and L. Sentis. "Design and Control Considerations for High-Performance Series Elastic Actuators," *Mechatronics, IEEE/ASME Transactions on*, vol.19, no.3, pp.1080,1091, June 2014.

#### Energy efficiency of high power test



# Visualization of CoT efficiency metric



S. Seok; Wang, A; M. Y. Chuah; Otten, D.; Lang, J.; S. Kim, "Design principles for highly efficient quadrupeds and implementation on the MIT Cheetah robot," *ICRA* pp.3307,3312, 6-10 May 2013

## Visualization of power/weight metric



## Thank you

#### References

Pestana, J., et al. "Characterization of emerging actuators for empowering legged robots." (2010).

I. W. Hunter and S. Lafontaine. A comparison of muscle with artificial actuators. In Solid-State Sensor and Actuator Workshop, 5th Technical Digest., IEEE, pages 178{185, June 1992.

Bennet-Clark, H. C. "The energetics of the jump of the locust Schistocerca gregaria." *Journal of Experimental Biology* 63.1 (1975): 53-83.

Josephson, Robert K. "The mechanical power output of a tettigoniid wing muscle during singing and flight." *Journal of experimental biology* 117.1 (1985): 357-368.

Scholz, Melanie N., et al. "Vertical jumping performance of bonobo (Pan paniscus) suggests superior muscle properties." *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 273.1598 (2006): 2177-2184.

Williams, S. B., et al. "Functional anatomy and muscle moment arms of the pelvic limb of an elite sprinting athlete: the racing greyhound (Canis familiaris)." *Journal of anatomy* 213.4 (2008): 361-372.